



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/563,091

12/30/2005

Jacobus A.M. Thomassen

082671-0234

8135

22428 7590 12/14/2007  
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP  
SUITE 500  
3000 K STREET NW  
WASHINGTON, DC 20007

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, TAI V

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3729

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/14/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Interview Summary

Application No.

10/563,091

Applicant(s)

THOMASSEN, JACOBUS  
A.M.

Examiner

Tai Van Nguyen

Art Unit

3729

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) A. Dexter Tugbang, Examiner.

(3) Andrew E. Rawlins, for Applicant(s).

(2) Tai Van Nguyen, Examiner.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 12 December 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 8 and 20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hashimoto.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
A. DEXTER TUGBANG  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The applicant(s) explained the differences between their invention and the prior art. The applicant(s) urged that the prior art does not teach a "substrate support...direction" (lines 9-11 of Claim 8 with similar limitations of Claim 20). The examiner clarified that in Hashimoto, the conveyor 13 was read as the claimed "substrate support" and is situated along a longitudinal side of the transport device. The conveyor 13 of Hashimoto "faces away" from the component feeder because it transports the substrate S in a direction away from the component feeder. The conveyor also is 3 dimensional, and thus has at least one dimension that "extends" perpendicular to the transport direction. Therefore, the examiner maintains that Hashimoto meets all of the limitations of Claims 8 and 20.

Further limitations were discussed in a potential future amendment that would appear to define over the art of record. The examiner also noted that the future amendment may raise new issues and requiring further consideration and search. The applicant(s) to file a subsequent response formally for consideration..



FOLEY &amp; LARDNER LLP

## ATTORNEYS AT LAW

WASHINGTON HARBOUR  
3000 K STREET, N.W., SUITE 500  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20007-5143  
TELEPHONE: 202.672.5300  
FACSIMILE: 202.672.5399  
WWW.FOLEY.COM

## FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Total # of Pages 2 (Including this page)

TO:	PHONE #:	FAX #:
Examiner Tai V. Nguyen		571-273-4567

From : Andrew E. Rawlins  
Email Address : arawlins@foley.com  
Sender's Direct Dial : 202.672.5349  
Date : December 10, 2007  
Client/Matter No : 082671-0234  
User ID No : 2151

## MESSAGE:

DO NOT ENTER

Application Serial No. 10/563,091

Examiner Nguyen:

Attached please find the proposed agenda that you requested for our telephonic interview on December 12, 2007.

Best regards,

Andrew E. Rawlins

If there are any problems with this transmission or if you have not received all of the pages, please call 202.672.5517.

Operator:

Time Sent:

Return Original To:  
Andrew E. Rawlins

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL USE OF THE DESIGNATED RECIPIENTS NAMED ABOVE. THIS MESSAGE MAY BE AN ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION, AND AS SUCH IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR ANY AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS DOCUMENT IN ERROR, AND THAT ANY REVIEW, DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS MESSAGE IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US BY MAIL. THANK YOU.

Cover Page 1 of 1

DO NOT ENTER  
Application Serial No. 10/563,091  
Proposed Agenda for Interview

1. Whether Hashimoto teaches or suggests the component placement device of independent claim 8, including, for example, "a substrate support that is situated along a longitudinal side of the transport device and that faces away from the at least one component feeder, the substrate support extends perpendicular to the transport direction."

2. Whether Hashimoto teaches or suggests the component placement device of independent claim 20, including, for example, "a substrate support that is situated along a longitudinal side of the transport device on an opposite side of the transport device from the component feeder, the substrate support extends perpendicular to the transport device."